## BPC EVALUATION RUBRIC FOR 2013-2014 PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT PLAN FUNDING REQUESTS

TOTAL	Column Score	Enter	<del></del>									<del></del>	0 pts	5 pts	CRITERION  SCORING 4
0													Does not demonstrate Alignment with the District Mission Statement	Demonstrates Alignment with the District Mission Statement	How well does Plan Align with DISTRICT CRITERION MISSION STATEMENT SCORING 4
<- self-calculating	Column Score +	5	<del></del>						— ←	5 pts	5 pts	5 pts	5 pts	5 pts	CRITERION  CRITERION  SCORING
ulating									• None = 0 pts • 1 = 5 pts • 2 or more = 10 pts (maximum of 10 pts)	V. Conscientious Community Partner	IV. Stewardship, Fiscal Prudence	III. Data-driven Planning and Decisions	II. Students have High Probability of Achieving Academic Success	L. Vanguard Institution, Innovation, Best Practices, Broad Access, Environmental Sustainability	How well does Plan Align with INSTITUTIONAL GOALS (select those that apply)
	Column Score +	n 5 6	<b></b>	5 pts	5 pts	5 pts	5 pts	5 pts	5 pts	5 pts	5 pts	5 pts	5 pts	5 pts	CRITERION → SCORING ↓
← Check this box if legal mandate or regulatory requirement			• None or pts • 1 = 5pts • 2 = 10 pts • 3 or more = 15 pts (maximum of 15 pts)	V.1 Increase 2-yr High School Capture Rate	IV.2 Budgeting Practices that culminate in Unqualified Audits	IV.1 Budgeting Practices that culminate in Balanced Budget	Warehouse	III.1 Centralize Institutional Planning in a Planning, Research, and Grants Office	II.3 Increase Completion of Degrees, Certificates, Transfer-readiness	II.2 Increase ESL or AHSDP Students to Credit Successful Completion	II.1 Increase Successful Course Completion and Student Retention	I.3 Secure Funding for Facilities Master Plan	I.2 Environmentally Sustainable Policies, Practices, and Systems	I.1 Increase Student Diversity	How well does Plan Align with STRATEGIC PLAN OBJECTIVES (select those that apply)
	Column Score &	Enter	<b>←</b>									· · · · ·	0 pts	15 pts	CRITERION  CRITERION  SCORING
													Does not demonstrate gap/need supported by Program Review	Demonstrates gap/need supported by Program Review, with goals linked to SLOs, AUOs, SAOs	Does Plan Align with PROGRAM REVIEW, SLOS, AUOs, SAOs
	Column Score 4	Enter	<b></b>									·	0 pts	15 pts	CRITERION  CRITERION  SCORING
													Does not include Measurable Outcomes	Includes Documented Measurable Outcome(s) tied to SLOs, AUOs, SAOs	Does Plan include MEASURABLE OUTCOMES
	Column Score <b>4</b>	Enter	<del></del>		-						0 pts	5 pts	5 pts	5pts	CRITERION → SCORING ↓
											Does not include Implementation Plan or Assessment Plan	Provides an assessment plan and method(s) [i.e., the method(s) that will be used and how they will be employed to evaluate the effectiveness of the Program Review Plan]	Demonstrates an implementation plan that outlines the steps needed to accomplish the Program Review Plan, including a clear timeline of how it will be implemented	Provides when, how, and for what the funds will be used to accomplish the Program Review Plan/targeted outcome	Does PR Plan include an IMPLEMENTATION PLAN and an ASSESSMENT PLAN (select those that apply)
											O A	C S O M	9 # M	ti All	Check (s
										<b>+</b>	All Costs are Ongoing	Majority Ongoing with Some One-time Costs	Majority One- time with Some Ongoing Costs	All Costs are One- time Only	One-time versus Ongoing Costs (select the one that applies)
	Column Score &	Enter	<b></b>								←	0 pts.	3 pts.	5 pts.	CRITERION → SCORING ↓
									•			Negative Net Impact on 50% law (as verified through Technical Review)	Neutral Net Impact on 50% law (as verified through Technical Review)	Positive Net Impact on 50% Law (as verified through Technical Review)	50% Law Impact