



IMPERIAL VALLEY COLLEGE
SLO COMMITTEE MINUTES
 Regular Meeting, Wednesday, May 8, 2013
 12:00 p.m. – SME Conference Room 2770C

Present:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sydney Rice (Coordinator)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Daniel Gilison	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Frank Hoppe
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sidne Horton	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mary Lofgren	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Audrey Morris
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sandie Noel	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Romano Sanchez-Dominguez	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mary Ann Smith
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lisa Solomon		
Visitors:	None		
Recorder:	Sandie Noel		

I. Opening of the Meeting

Call to order

Sydney Rice called the regular meeting of the Imperial Valley College SLO Committee to order at 12:00 pm on Wednesday, May 8, 2013.

II. Action/Discussion Items

- 1. Approval of the Minutes:** The minutes were tabled until the next meeting.
- 2. Decision on Tracdat vs. eLumen**

The committee discussed the pros and cons of the two software programs and reviewed the recommendations from other colleges. Upon conclusion the committee decided to go with Tracdat.

M/S (Mary Ann Smith/Lisa Solomon) to approve the purchase of Tracdat. The motion was carried.

Sydney stated that she would like to schedule a training day for the faculty in the fall since the campus community seems to have a momentum going with the SLO process in general. She also informed them that the Atlas Committee will be paying a portion of the cost for the software program.

Sidne Horton informed the committee that the Atlas Committee has funds available to pay a faculty member to receive training and be the point person to train the rest of the faculty on TracDat.

3. National Institute for Learning Outcomes Assessment Accreditation

Help with final questions: The committee formalized the following answers to each question;

- What are you most hopeful about in terms of assessing student learning at your institution?
 1) That teachers will improve their teaching; 2) that faculty are interested; and 3) that faculty will connect the requirements with what they are already doing.
- What are you worried about in terms of assessing student learning at your institution?
 1) That it will be used negatively in tenure/evaluations; and 2) that we are doing this to what end?
- What is the most positive outcome of your institution level student learning assessment activities?

- 1) That students graduate with higher transfer rates and improved skills.
- With what issues or topics regarding assessing student learning does your campus need assistance?
 - 1) The quality of the assessments; and 2) part-time faculty issues.

4. Program –Review emails from listserv on TracDat and eLumen

The committee reviewed emails from other colleges.

5. Summer availability

Daniel, Lisa, Frank and Mary, all stated that they would be available to help out if needed.

6. Times for Next Year

The members agreed to meet at the same time for next year which will be 3:30 p.m. on Wednesdays.

7. New Members -Suggestions

Daniel Gilson informed the committee that he will not be able to participate in the committee next year due to other obligating commitments. The committee members suggested inviting Jill Millapovich and Dixie Krimm to join.

8. Future Projects

A. Mapping SLO's to PLO's

Currently SLO's are mapped to ILO's and not directly to PLO's. We have to show how individual courses flow directly into our PLO's.

Sydney stated that we need to discuss what SLO's are getting the instructor's to this point?

B. Assessment training – what do the terms that we use actually mean? For example, when we say “Demonstrate”, what types of activities or assessments would be appropriate?

Sydney stated that Dean Tina Aguirre has asked if we could define what the terms mean and inform the community so that everyone understands and uses the same terminology.

C. Scoring: what does it mean to be successful?

Sydney stated that the committee needs to begin discussing and develop a format that shows when students have reached success and develop the goals to get there.

D. Other ideas

- A. The committee needs to define the ILO's – Daniel mentioned that Toni Pfister's, “Strive for Five” definitions could be useful.
- B. Thank You party in the fall – Sydney mentioned having it when the Tracdat training will occur.

III. The meeting adjourned at 1:00 p.m.